

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		· · ·		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,624	08/05/2003	Takashi Kurumisawa	116485	5362
25944 OLIFF & BER	10/633,624 08/05/2003 Takashi Kurumisawa 116485 5362			
P.O. BOX 320850			BODDIE, WILLIAM	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4830			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	•				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/633,624	KURUMISAWA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	William L. Boddie	2629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vor Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Secondary</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	eptember 2007. action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	· <u> </u>				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 5,6,12 and 13 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 5,6,12 and 13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	*			
Application Papers	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) \[\sum \text{Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)} \]	4) Interview Summary	4 (PTO 413)			
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-032) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/633,624 Page 2

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

1. In an amendment dated, September 27th, 2007, the Applicants amended claims 5, 13 and cancelled claims 1-2, and 4-11. Currently claims 5-6 and 12-13 are pending.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 27th, 2007 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed September 27th, 2007 have been fully considered but they are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 5-6 and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Greier et al. (US 6,801,220) in view of Biggs (US 5,886,682).

With respect to claim 5, Greier discloses, an image display device, comprising: a display unit (fig. 5);

Application/Control Number: 10/633,624

Art Unit: 2629

a viewing angle range adjustment device that sets grayscale values of the pixels of the image data (col. 13, lines 11-32), each of the pixels including sub pixels corresponding to a plurality of colors (R,G, B in fig. 19), the viewing angle range adjustment device setting grayscale values of different color sub pixels (fig. 19) of the pixels based on different viewing angle characteristics of the different color sub pixels (col. 4, lines 11-21); and

a display device for displaying the image data on the display unit (112 in fig. 3); the viewing angle range adjustment device sets different grayscale values for the same color sub pixels of adjacent ones of the first, second, and third pixels (clear from fig. 19 that all of the adjacent color sub pixels have alternate grayscale values).

Greier does not expressly disclose a resolution conversion device.

Biggs discloses, a resolution conversion device (fig. 2) that converts original image data (fig. 4a, for example) for a single pixel to resolution-converted image data including image data of first, second, and third pixels (clear from figs. 4a-b); and

a display device for displaying the resolution-converted image data on the display unit (34 in fig. 2).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to convert the incoming video signals of Greier, to automatically copy the image data to fit the resolution of the device as taught by Biggs, and subsequently adjusting the sub pixel luminances as taught by Greier.

As to the additional limitation requiring that the resolution conversion be performed prior to adjusting the viewing angle by ensuring a checkered pattern of gray

Application/Control Number: 10/633,624

Art Unit: 2629

scales, this order of processes is seen as inherently required by the combination of devices. The resolution conversion process, of Biggs, essentially introduces additional data. Greier's device, however, manipulates the grayscale of each sub pixel to produce a specific pattern of grayscales amongst the sub pixels (see figs. 13-21).

If the original data were set to the checkered pattern of Greier, and then converted to the screen's resolution, by Biggs, Greier's pattern would be destroyed and the benefits of a wider viewing angle would not be enjoyed. As such it would have been clear to one of ordinary skill in the art that the resolution conversion process must inherently occur prior to instilling a wider viewing angle in the display data.

The motivation for doing so would have been to quickly resize bitmaps using only minimal processor time (Biggs; col. 2, lines 46-50).

With respect to claim 6, Greier and Biggs disclose, the image display device according to claim 5 (see above).

Greier further discloses, each subpixel corresponding to each color of R, G and B (fig. 20);

the viewing angle range adjustment device comprising:

a lookup table that stores display characteristics of the display unit for each color of R, G, and B; and a device that determines the grayscale values of the sub pixels for each color with reference to the lookup table (col. 19, lines 37-40, which details operations based on stored RGB values).

With respect to claim 12, Greier and Biggs disclose, the image display device according to claim 5 (see above).

Greier further discloses, the viewing angle adjustment device setting the same grayscale value for two different color sub pixels of the first and second pixels (this should be clear from fig. 19 where two different color sub pixels do have the same grayscale value).

With respect to claim 13, Greier and Biggs disclose, the image display device according to claim 5 (see above).

Greier further discloses, wherein sub pixels of the single pixel after converting the resolution have the same grayscale values (col. 15, lines 49-55, for example).

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William L. Boddie whose telephone number is (571) 272-0666. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:30 - 4:30 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sumati Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-3638. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,624

Art-Unit: 2629

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Wlb 10/17/07

SUMATI LEFKOWITZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER